



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,888	07/08/2003	Terence Gerard Daly	10407-631	9852

30076 7590 04/04/2005

BROWN RAYSMAN MILLSTEIN FELDER & STEINER, LLP  
1880 CENTURY PARK EAST  
12TH FLOOR  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

EXAMINER
----------

BROCKETTI, JULIE K

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3713

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/615,888

Applicant(s)

DALY, TERENCE GERARD **6D**

Examiner

Julie K Brockett

Art Unit

3713

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 July 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                        | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)               | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07302003</u> .  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Objections***

Claims 5 and 20 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 5 and 20 needs the word "of" inserted after the word "removal".

Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6, 7, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

These claims all state the limitation "at least partially...controlled". It is unclear as to how much control the player has and how much control the computer has. What parts does the player or the computer control? One of ordinary skill in the art would not know what types of control are defined by the claimed language and therefore it is indefinite.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

**Claims 1, 2, 5-8, 10-12, 15-17, 20-23, 25-27, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Munoz, U.S. Patent**

**Application Publication No. 2004/024313 A1.** Munoz discloses a gaming method for playing a reel selection slot machine. A plurality of reels within a display window are spun. The plurality of reels each display one or more game symbols (See Munoz Fig. 3). A subset of the spinning reels is selected for use in determining a game outcome. The non-selected reels are removed from a player's view within the display reel. The selected reels are consolidated in the display window so as to be rearranged so that the selected reels are adjacent to one another. It is then determined if the selected reels produce a winning game outcome and a prize is awarded if a winning game outcome is achieved (See Munoz Fig. 4; ¶0022, ¶0028-¶0030) [claims 1, 16, 30]. The spinning of the reels are stopped after the consolidating of the selected reels within the display window (See Munoz ¶0004, ¶0028) [claims 2, 17]. The consolidating of the selected reels within the display window includes juxtapositioning the

selected reels to eliminate any non-contiguous positioning of the selected reels produced by the removal of the non-selected reels (See Munoz Figs. 4-6) [claims 5, 20, 30]. The selection of the subset of reels for use in determining a game outcome is at least partially player controlled (See Munoz ¶0028) [claims 6, 21]. The selection of the subset of reels for use in determining a game outcome is at least partially computer controlled (See Munoz ¶0031) [claims 7, 22]. The plurality of reels are video representations of physical reels (See Fig 3) [claims 8, 23]. The gaming method is used as a bonus game in conjunction with an underlying primary game (See Munoz ¶0033) [claims 10, 25]. A winning outcome in the bonus game results in a prize that is added to a prize won in the underlying primary game or a multiplying prize won in the underlying primary game (See Munoz ¶0005) [claims 11, 12, 26, 27]. For example, if a player gets a 2 x multiplier, this “doubles” the primary prize, i.e. it adds the primary prize to itself. The gaming method may be used as a primary game (See Munoz ¶0004) [claims 15, 30].

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

**Claims 3, 4, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Munoz, U.S. Patent Application Publication No.**

**2004/024313 A1.** Munoz discloses stopping the spinning of the reels after consolidating the selected reels within the display window. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to stop the spinning of the selected reels before consolidating the selected reels or before removing the non-selected reels from a player's view within the display window because Applicant has not disclosed that the time the reels are stopped provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Munoz's gaming device and applicant's invention to perform equally well with stopping the spinning of the reels at any time because no matter when the reels are stopped, the player still gets to view the game outcome. Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to modify Munoz to obtain the invention as specified in claims 3, 4, 18 and 19 because such a modification would have been considered a mere design consideration which fails to patentably distinguish over the prior art of Nash.

**Claims 9 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Munoz in view of the Price is Right game "Squeeze Play".** Munoz lacks in disclosing randomly changing the position of the selected reels after removing the non-selected reels. In the Price is Right game

“Squeeze Play” a contestant, randomly chooses one number out of three numbers to be removed from the price of a prize. Once that number is removed, the remaining numbers randomly change positions, i.e. based on the contestant’s random selection of the removed number, and the remaining numbers remain and are compared to the price of the prize and it is determined if the contestant wins (See “Squeeze Play”) [claims 9, 24]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to randomly change the position of the selected reels after removing the non-selected reels in the game of Munoz. By changing the reel positions, the player can clearly see which reels are still involved in the play of the game and can concentrate on these reels instead of reels not involved in the game outcome.

**Claims 13, 14, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Munoz in view of Fier, U.S. Patent No. 6,126,542.** Munoz lacks in disclosing that the bonus game reduces or loses a prize in the underlying primary game. Fier teaches of a gaming device and describes in the background of the invention how it is common throughout the art that when a non-winning game outcome occurs in the bonus game, there is the possibility of losing or reducing a prize won in the underlying primary game (See Fier 2 lines 16-26) [claims 13, 14, 28, 29]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the player risk their primary game award when in order to play the bonus game. By

Art Unit: 3713

having the player risk their primary award, the casino can recoup the primary award if the player loses the bonus game. Therefore, by having the player risk their primary award the casino can make money in order to pay off the bonus games with winning outcomes.

### ***Citation of Relevant Prior Art***

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

1. Bregenzer, U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0224745 A1.

--Bregenzer discloses a slot machine where the player selects which reels to form the winning outcome.

2. Thomas et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0073480 A1.

--Thomas discloses a gaming machine where the player selects how many reels to play.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie K Brockett whose telephone number is 571-272-4432. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai can be reached on 571-272-7147. The fax



Art Unit: 3713

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Julie K Brockett  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3713